

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A
2004	N/A	N/A	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	85.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	9.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	5.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	N/A

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	N/A

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	4	N/A		
Number of Diplomas	0	N/A		
Rate	I/S	N/A		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	n %		%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	I/S	I/S	
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	I/S	N/A	
African-American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	I/S	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	I/S	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	I/S	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GRU	1015					- 7 -		-
	Enrollment 1st	م / يۇ	% Below Basis	} / .	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Participation
	[je	% Tested	/ A	% Basic		/ J	g ejej		ğ. / g
			/ %	/ %	1 4	1 8		[e. 12]] ji
		7	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % \$	/ [©] S	/
Engl	/ ish/Langua	ne Δrts - S			/ Objective	/			
All Students	250	93.6	7.6	20.6	37.7	34.1	81.2	YES	N
Gender									
Male	118	93.2	7.7	26.9	36.5	28.8	75.0	N/A	N/
-emale	132	93.9	7.6	15.1	38.7	38.7	86.6	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	215	93.5	4.7	20.5	37.9	36.8	83.7	YES	N
African-American	23	91.3	23.8	28.6	28.6	19.0	61.9	I/S	I,
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Hispanic	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I.
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	220	94.1	4.1	17.3	40.3	38.3	87.8	N/A	N.
Disabled	30	90.0	33.3	44.4	18.5	3.7	33.3	I/S	I,
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
Non-Migrant	250	93.6	7.6	20.6	37.7	34.1	81.2	N/A	N
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Non-Limited English Proficient	248	93.5	6.8	20.8	38.0	34.4	81.9	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	73	94.5	13.0	30.4	33.3	23.2	71.0	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	176	93.2	5.2	16.2	39.6	39.0	85.7	N/A	N.
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obie	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	250	93.2	12.2	21.2	36.0	30.6	73.9	YES	N
Gender									
Male	118	93.2	11.5	27.9	30.8	29.8	70.2	N/A	N
emale	132	93.2	12.7	15.3	40.7	31.4	77.1	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	215	93.0	9.0	20.1	37.0	33.9	77.8	YES	N
African-American	23	91.3	38.1	28.6	19.0	14.3	42.9	I/S	ı
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Hispanic	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	220	94.1	9.7	18.4	39.8	32.1	78.6	N/A	N
Disabled	30	86.7	30.8	42.3	7.7	19.2	38.5	I/S	ı
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	250	93.2	12.2	21.2	36.0	30.6	73.9	N/A	N.
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı
Non-Limited English Proficient	248	93.1	11.8	20.9	36.4	30.9	74.5	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	73	93.2	17.6	27.9	36.8	17.6	63.2	YES	N
Full-pay meals	176	93.2	9.7	18.2	35.7	36.4	78.6	N/A	N

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

St. James High					2601058
SCHOOL PROFILE					
	Our School		ge from Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 783)					
Retention rate	6.9%	N/A		7.7%	9.1%
Attendance rate	96.2%	N/A		96.2%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.6%	N/A		13.0%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	15.5%	N/A		13.3%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade	6.1%	N/A		7.6%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	5.7%	N/R		2.5%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	58.8%	N/R		16.1%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV			53.0%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	N/A	N/A		2.9%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	N/A		1.2%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	541	N/A		579	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	20.6%	N/A		30.5%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	83.1%	N/A		77.4%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A		100.0%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 51)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	54.9%	N/A		57.3%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	76.5%	N/A		83.3%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	91.4%	N/A		89.7%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	4.2%			7.3%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A		87.7%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	95.7%	N/R		95.7%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$41,419	I/S N/R		\$41,554	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	7.1 days	IN/PC		10.5 days	10.6 days
School					
Principal's years at school	1.0	N/R		2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	27.5 to 1	N/R		29.1 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.5% N/A	N/R N/A		90.5% \$5,954	90.0% \$6,310
Dollars spent per pupil* Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	N/A	N/A N/A		58.8%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/R		Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	48.8%	N/R		88.3%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	N/R		Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A		Good	Good
•		(Our District	St	tate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**		87.9%	92	.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ schools**		92.8%	91	.1%
		St	ate Objective	Met State	e Objective
UP and the second of the section of the first and the section of the			05.00/		,

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

65.0%

95.3%

Yes

Yes

Highly qualified teachers in this school**

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We are very pleased to report that we've had a very productive first year at Saint James High School. After making it through the challenges of opening a new school and dealing with the many physical and logistical complications that are a natural outgrowth of a new school, we are very pleased with our results.

Academically, many of our students have performed well this year. At the end of the first term we had approximately 50% of our students making the honor roll out of a total of 775 active students at that time. 12 students qualified for our ACT/SAT Wall of Fame, which means they've scored 1100 or better on the SAT and 24 or better on the ACT. Two students were named to the Governor's School for Arts and Humanities and two students were named to the Governor's School for Science and Math. Four students were named Palmetto Boys' State Delegates while 3 students were named Palmetto Girls' State Delegates. Four students were named 2004 Presbyterian College Junior Scholars, four students were named Wofford Scholars, and three students were named Columbia College Scholars. Twenty-four students were recognized for perfect attendance.

Athletically, we are proud of our junior varsity football team. Not having a varsity team in this inaugural year gave us the opportunity to field a junior varsity team that compiled a record of 8 wins versus 1 loss. Our girls' cross-country team went on to capture the region championship, while our varsity girls' basketball team proceeded to make the state 3A playoffs in their first year of existence. We were very competitive athletically in this first season and we are proud of the efforts of the coaches and players for this year's outstanding performances.

In the areas of staff qualifications and accomplishments, we are pleased to say that 38 members of our staff hold advanced degrees, 3 members are Nationally Board Certified, and 2 hold Doctoral degrees. One teacher has been invited to participate in the Supreme Court Summer Institute. Our staff has been engaged in a number of staff development activities this year that include Read 180, G&T, and AP training, as well as curriculum differentiation and inclusion training. We also spent a great deal of time throughout the year working on Ruby Payne's Framework for Poverty training.

All in all, we've had a very busy but productive first year. We all look forward with great anticipation to next year when we hope to continue to build on our successes.

Ronnie K. Burgess, Principal Delton Powers, School Improvement Council Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	27	124	53				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.3%	71.3%	83.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	79.3%	84.9%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	92.3%	71.8%	67.3%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11 only	the highest grade	habulani sew				